

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/540,201	03/31/2000	Otmar Bitsche	225/48731	3629
75	1112003			
Evenson McKeown Edwards & Lenahan PLLC 1200 G Street N W Suite 700			EXAMINER	
			LAM, THANH	
Washington, DC	20005			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2024	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/540,201

Applicant(s)

Bitsche et al.

Examiner

Thanh Lam

Art Unit 2834



The MAILING DATE of this server :	
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM i). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with	thin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. pply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Amnda	t. filed on 10/23/2002
	action is non-final.
The practice under Ex	ce except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) X Claim(s) <u>1-10</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 💢 Claim(s) <u>8</u>	is/are allowed.
6) X Claim(s) 1, 3, 4, and 9	is/are rejected.
7) X Claim(s) 2, 5-7, and 10	is/are objected to.
8) Claims	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	are a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)
ine proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Evamine
approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	y to this Office action.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
of a ciaini for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some* c) None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents he	ave been received.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	ave been received in Application No
application from the International But *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of t	documents have been received in this National Stage reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti	C priority under 25 U.S.C. \$ 440/->
a) The translation of the foreign language provision	nal application has been received
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti	C priority under 35 U.S.C. 88 120 and/or 121
Audenment(s)	1 3 120 and/or 121.
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:
Patent and Trademark Office	

Application/Control Number: 09540201

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1, 3-4, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Capenter in view of Wuerth et al.

Carpenter discloses Regarding claim 9, Carpenter discloses a reluctance motor having a motor and at least two salient stator poles (18, 19) with each of said stator poles being provided with an exciter coil (16), said reluctance motor further comprising at least one device or means

Art Unit: 2834

(24) positioned against an end of each of said exciter coils which faces said rotor to apply a radial force to said exciter coils in a direction away from said rotor. However, Carpenter does not specifically disclose the device having a spring chracteristic.

Wuerth et al. disclose a spring biasing device (20) for the purpose of providing a radially outward force on the ends of said exciter coils.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Carpenter to accommodate the spring bias device as taught by Wuerth in order to improve the holding force for the excited coils.

Regarding claim 3, the proposal in combination of Carpenter and Wuerth disclose said exciter coils are gripped between the spring biasing device and a yoke of the stator.

Regarding claim 4, the proposal in combination of Carpenter and Wuerth disclose each of said stator poles has a groove in at proximately the center of the end facing the rotor wherein said groove receives said spring biasing device.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.



Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09540201

Art Unit: 2834

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2,5-7, and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 8 is allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Lam whose telephone number is (703) 308-7626. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3432.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0656.

Thanh Lam

Patent Examiner

Want lan

Dec. 27, 2002